

Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

Bill#	SB0159			Title:		onsent provision for statutory rape
Primary Sponsor:	Shockley, Jim			Status:	As Introd	luced
□ Significant	Local Gov Impact	V	Needs to be included in	n HB 2		Technical Concerns
☐ Included in	the Executive Budget		Significant Long-Term	Impacts		Dedicated Revenue Form Attached

FISCAL SUMMARY

T. W.	FY 2010 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2011 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2012 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2013 <u>Difference</u>
Expenditures: General Fund	(\$3,840)	(\$3,840)	(\$3,840)	(\$3,840)
Revenue:				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact-General Fund Balance	\$3,840	\$3,840	\$3,840	\$3,840

Description of fiscal impact:

SB 159 would have an impact on the Office of the State Public Defender by a small decrease in caseload which could result in decreased costs.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

- 1. The Office of the State Public Defender (OPD) was able to determine that during FY 2008, eight cases were defended by OPD under 45-5-503, MCA, which would no longer be illegal under proposed SB 159.
- 2. The agency has determined that an average of eight hours is spent on such cases.
- 3. Assuming that the agency will see an annual decrease of eight cases, at eight hours per case the OPD estimates a reduction of expenditures each fiscal year of approximately \$3,840.

	FY 2010 Difference	FY 2011 Difference	FY 2012 Difference	FY 2013 Difference		
Fiscal Impact:						
Expenditures: Operating Expenses	(\$3,840)	(\$3,840)	(\$3,840)	(\$3,840)		
Funding of Expenditures: General Fund (01)	(\$3,840)	(\$3,840)	(\$3,840)	(\$3,840)		
Revenues: General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):						
General Fund (01)	\$3,840	\$3,840	\$3,840	\$3,840		

		<u> </u>	
Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date